

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,908	12/08/2005	Takaharu Ai	10873.1822USWO	8290
53148 7590 12/27/2010 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAM	IINER
			GUPTA, PARUL H	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0902	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/559,908	AI, TAKAHARU				
Examiner	Art Unit				
PARUL GUPTA	2627				

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 August 2010.
2a)🛛	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dienoeit	ion of Claims

4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-16 and 22-24</u> is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2009 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examine
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

4) ☐ Claim(s) 14-16 and 22-24 is/are pending in the application.

12) Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🛛 All	b) Some * c) None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsporton's References (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper Ne(s)Moll Data.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	_

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

iner.

Art Unit: 2627

### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14-15 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Russell (US 6,327,679).

In regard to claim 14, Russell discloses an information recording device (Fig. 2) for recording information on an information recording medium (Fig. 2, element 206 and Fig. 5, "Disk") including a volume space for recording user data (Fig. 5, "User Sectors"), a spare area containing a substitute area that can be used in place of a defective area contained in the volume space (Fig. 5, "Reserved Replacement Sectors"), and a defect management information area (Fig. 2, element 214) for recording defect management information used for managing the defective area (Col. 4¹), wherein the defect management information contains a plurality of defect list entries (Fig. 2, element 214), each defect list entry (Fig. 2, element 218) containing fields that store defect location information indicating a location of the defective area (Fig. 2, element 218), substitute position information indicating a location of the substitute area (part of "defect map table" of element 214 of figure 2 as given in Col. 4, lines 1-20), and defect status

Although Fig. 2 shows "defect map table 214"... maintained by... the operating system for host system 202," the "defect map table 214" is additionally "maintained by storage media 204" or exclusively "maintained by storage media 204" (Col. 4, lines 4-7).

Art Unit: 2627

information indicating an attribute of the defect list entry (Fig. 2, element 224), the device comprising: an initialization processing module (inherent to perform the "reformat" of Col. 2, line 23 and "format[ing]" of Col. 5, line 5), which maintains at least the defect location in formation of the defect management information and overwrites the defect status information with an attribute indicating that significant user data is not present in any of the defective area and the substitute area (Col. 5, lines 3-13 and Col. 2, lines 22-27²), in each defect list entry for which defect management has been conducted upon receiving an execution instruction of physical reformatting of the information recording medium (to fully replace as given by Col. 5, lines 1-13).

In regard to claim 15, Russell discloses the information recording device, according to claim 14, wherein the initialization processing module erases the substitute location information in the defect list entry, upon receiving an execution instruction of physical reformatting of the information recording medium (to fully replace as given by Col. 5, lines 1-13).

Regarding claim 22, Russell discloses the information recording device according to claim 14, wherein, in a case where user data is recorded in the defective area of the defect list entry having an attribute subjected to the physical reformatting, or in a case where the user data is recorded in the substitute area to be a substitute destination of the defective area of the defect list entry having the attribute subjected to the physical reformatting, the defect status information is changed from the one form to the another form (done by changing the flag of "unusable bit" 224 and updated in "defect map table"

<sup>2</sup> After reformatting, clearing of unusable bit 224 indicates that all data is cleared and thus significant user

Art Unit: 2627

214 of figure 2 as given in Col. 4, lines 1-20), wherein one form of the defect status information indicates that significant user data is not present in any of the defective area and the substitute area (Col. 5, lines 3-13 and Col. 2, lines 22-27³), and another form different from the one form of the ddefect status information indicates that the defect status information is unused (the "defect map table" of element 214 of figure 2 contains entries with information regarding whether the defect status information was used and the status of each block, which is one of the forms when combined with the "unusable bit" as given in Col. 4, lines 1-20).

In regard to claim 23, Russell discloses a process (Fig. 5), for recording defect management information (Fig. 2, element 214) used for managing a defective area onto an information recording medium (Fig. 2, element 206; Fig. 5, "Disk" and Col. 4<sup>4</sup>), wherein the information recording medium records user data in a volume space (Fig. 5, "User Sectors"), using a spare area containing a substitute area in place of the defective area contained in the volume space (Fig. 5, "Reserved Replacement Sectors"), and recording the defect management information in a defect management information area (Fig. 2, element 214), the defect management information contains a plurality of defect list entries (table of Fig. 2, element 214), each defect list entry contains fields that store defect location information indicating a location of the defective area (Fig. 2, element 218), substitute position information indicating a location of the substitute area (part of

data is not present in any of the defective area and the substitute area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> After reformatting, clearing of unusable bit 224 indicates that all data is cleared and thus significant user data is not present in any of the defective area and the substitute area.

Although Fig. 2 shows "defect map table 214... maintained by ... the operating system for host system 202," the "defect map table 214" is additionally "maintained by storage media 204" or exclusively "maintained by storage media 204" (Col. 4, lines 4-7).

Art Unit: 2627

"defect map table" of element 214 of figure 2 as given in Col. 4, lines 1-20), and defect status information indicating an attribute of the defect list entry (Fig. 2, element 224), one form of the defect status information indicates that significant user data is not present in any of the defective area and the substitute area (Col. 5, lines 3-13 and Col. 2, lines 22-27<sup>5</sup>), the attribute of the defect status information in each defect list entry for which defect management has been conducted after physical reformatting of the information recording medium (Col. 5, lines 3-13 and Col. 2, lines 22-27<sup>6</sup>) indicates that significant user data in not present in any of the defective area and the substitute area (the "defect map table" of element 214 of figure 2 contains entries with information regarding whether the defect status information was used and the status of each block, which is one of the forms when combined with the "unusable bit" as given in Col. 4, lines 1-20).

In regard to claim 24, Russell discloses an information recording medium (Fig. 2, element 206 and Fig. 5, "Disk") comprising a volume space for recording user data (Fig. 5, "User Sectors"), a spare area containing a substitute area that can be used in place of a defective area contained in the volume space (Fig. 5, "Reserved Replacement Sectors"), and a defect management information area (Fig. 2, element 214) for recording defect management information used for managing the defective area (Col.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> After reformatting, clearing of unusable bit 224 indicates that all data is cleared and thus significant user data is not present in any of the defective area and the substitute area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> After reformatting, clearing of unusable bit 224 indicates that all data is cleared and thus significant user data is not present in any of the defective area and the substitute area.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,908 Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

4<sup>7</sup>), wherein the defect management information contains a plurality of defect list entries (table of Fig. 2, element 214), each defect list entry contains fields that store defect location information indicating a location of the defective area (Fig. 2, element 218), substitute position information indicating a location of the substitute area (part of "defect map table" of element 214 of figure 2 as given in Col. 4, lines 1-20), and defect status information indicating an attribute of the defect list entry (Fig. 2, element 224), the attribute of the defect status information in each defect list entry for which defect management has been conducted after physical reformatting of the information recording medium (Col. 5, lines 3-13 and Col. 2, lines 22-27<sup>6</sup>) indicates that significant user data in not present in any of the defective area and the substitute area (the "defect map table" of element 214 of figure 2 contains entries with information regarding whether the defect status information was used and the status of each block, which is one of the forms when combined with the "unusable bit" as given in Col. 4, lines 1-20).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neactived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Although Fig. 2 shows "defect map table 214... maintained by ... the operating system for host system 202," the "defect map table 214" is additionally "maintained by storage media 204" or exclusively "maintained by storage media 204" (Col. 4, lines 4-7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> After reformatting, clearing of unusable bit 224 indicates that all data is cleared and thus significant user data is not present in any of the defective area and the substitute area.

Art Unit: 2627

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell in view of Kulakowski et al. (hereinafter Kulakowski) (US 5.303,219).

Russell discloses the device of claim 14 but does not disclose that the device further comprises an inspection processing module for inspecting the defective area indicated by the defect list entry having an attribute indicating that physical reformatting has been performed while there is no operation instruction from a higher-level control device and invalidating the defect list entry if defects in the defective area have been eliminated and allocating a substitute area to the defective area if a defect in the defective area is confirmed.

Kulakowski discloses an inspection processing module (Fig. 1) for inspecting a defective area indicated by a defect list entry while there is no operation instruction from a higher-level control device and invalidating the defect list entry if defects in the defective area have been eliminated and allocating a substitute area to the defective area if a defect in the defective area is confirmed (Figs. 9C and 9D).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to for the device of Russell to comprise an inspection processing module for inspecting the defective area of Russell indicated by the defect list entry of Russell, which have an attribute indicating that physical reformatting has been performed, while there is no operation instruction from a higher-level control device and invalidating the defect list entry if defects in the defective area have been eliminated and allocating a substitute area to the defective area if a defect in the defective area is

Art Unit: 2627

confirmed as suggested by Kulakowski, the motivation being to reclaim as usable areas defective areas that are no longer defective.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that Russell does not teach the same type of defect status information to reduce a read error as Russell does not recognize the difference between rewriting and reformatting and no other reference remedies the deficiencies of Russell. However, the examiner disagrees. The unusable bit 224 of Russell combined with the defect map table of element 214 perform the same function as the claimed defect status information. The rejection has been further clarified to explain this. As the same elements are used in the same way, incidental benefits like recognizing different processes, which is not directly claimed, is inherent.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2627

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PARUL GUPTA whose telephone number is (571)272-5260. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 10 AM to 7 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Joseph H. Feild/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627

Art Unit: 2627

/Parul Gupta/ Examiner, Art Unit 2627